IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT APPEAL NO : 869 of 2004

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 19/03/2004 in WP NO : 5133 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:
M/s.Shanti Auto (P)Ltd., rep.by its Director, Secunderabad.

.....
APPELLANT

AND

1 The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Barkathpura, Hyderabad.
2 The Enforcement officer (APFC) Employees Provident Fund Organization
A.P.,Barkathpura, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

For the Appellant:SMT.K.ANNAPURNA REDDY, Advocate

For the Respondents : MR.R.N.REDDY, SC for Employees Provident

Fund, Barkathpura, Hyderabad.

The Court Made the Following :

JUDGMENT: (per Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

The impugned order passed by the learned single Judge is almost a consent order. The learned Judge having found that the appeal is pending, directed stay of recovery of the amount demanded under the impugned order dated 13-2-2004, pending disposal of the appeal preferred by the petitioner-appellant herein before the Employees Provident Fund Tribunal, subject to the appellant depositing half of the demanded amount within a period of six weeks from the date of the impugned order.

In such view of the matter, we do not find any illegality or irregularity in the impugned order passed by the learned single Judge warranting interference by this Court. The writ appeal is, therefore, dismissed. No order as to costs.

DEVINDER GUPTA,CJ

G.ROHINI,J

Dtd: 21-6-2004
Nrg.

(P. Vana Kumari)
Dy. Registrar

To:

1. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Barkathpura, Hyderabad.
2. The Enforcement officer (APFC) Employees Provident Fund Organization
   A.P.,Barkathpura, Hyderabad.
3. One CC to Mr. R.N. Reddy, Advocate
4. 2 CD copies.